

# EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No 1381 CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2009/BHADRA 1931 25,

### LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

#### NOTIFICATION

The 26th August 2009

No. 7847—li/1(B)-73/2003 -L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 14th July 2009 in I. D. Case No. 58 of 2004 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the Industrial Dispute between the management of the Chief Veterinary Officer, At/P.O./Dist. Kendrapara/the Veterinary Assistant Surgeon, At/P.O. Badapada, P. S. Pottery, Dist. Kendrapara and Shri Bijaya Kumar Barik, S/o Purusottam Barik, At/P.O. Sunajaria, Via Jaria P. S. Pattamundai, District, Kendrapara was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:—

#### SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES CASE No. 58 of 2004

Dated the 14th July 2009

Present:

Shri M. R. Tripathy

Presiding Officer, Labour Court

Bhubaneswar.

Between:

The Chief District Veterinary Officer

First Party—Managements

At/P.O./Dist. Kendrapara. The Veterinary Asst. Surgeon At/P.O.Badapada, P.S. Pottery

Dist. Kendrapara.

And

Shri Bijaya Kumar Barik,

Second Party—Workman

S/o Purusottam Barik

At/P.O. Sunajaria, Via Jaria

P. S. Pattamundai, Dist. Kendrapara.

Appearances:

For both the parties

None

## **AWARD**

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12, readwith Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 6238—li/1(B)-73/2003-LE., dated the 17th July 2004.

"Whether the termination of service of Shri Bijaya Kumar Barik (Attendant) w. e. f. dated the 1st August 1997 by the (1) Chief District Veterinary Officer, Kendrapara and (2) Veterinary Assistant Surgeon, At/P.O. Badapada, Dist. Kendrapara is legal and/or justified? If not, what benefit Shri Barik is entitled to get?"

2. In this case neither the second party nor the first party have filed their respective claim statement and written statement. Both the parties have also not taken any steps in the matter. From the conduct of the parties it can reasonably be inferred that they are no more interested to contest the proceeding perhaps for the reason that the dispute has been settled between them amicably out of the Court. In the circumstance, a no dispute Award is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

M. R. TRIPATHY
14-7-2009
Presiding Officer
Labour Court , Bhubaneswar

M. R. TRIPATHY
14-7-2009
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor

K. C. BASKE

Under-Secretary to Government